IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE, ANDHRA PRADESH
AT HYDERABAD

(Special Original Jurisdiction)

TUESDAY, THE TWENTY FIFTH DAY OF JANUARY
TWO THOUSAND AND FIVE

PRESENT

THE HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE N.V. RAMANA

WRIT PETITION No.25375 of 2004

Between:

1 K.Srinivas Reddy, S/o Kunduri Chinna Tirupal Reddy,
aged about 35 years,

2 K.Siva Sankar Reddy, S/o Kunduri China Konda Reddy,
aged about 32 years,

3 A.Chandra Sekhar Reddy, S/o A.V.Subba Reddy,
aged about 50 years,

4 Y.Sambasiva Reddy, S/o Y.Bala Narsi Reddy,
aged about 36 years,

5 Y.Sadasiva Reddy, S/o Y.Bala Narsi Reddy,
aged about 33 years,

6 Y.Vishnuvardhan Reddy, S/o Y.Bala Narsi Reddy,
aged about 29 years,

7 Talari Ramalingam, S/o Talari China Narsimham,

aged about 39 years,

8 P.Hussain Reddy, S/o Peda Hussain Reddy,
aged about 42 years,

9. Talari Lingamaiah, S/o Talari China Narsimham,
aged about 38 years,

All are R/o. Vanikemdinne Village, Srivel Mandal,

Kurnool District.

..... PETITIONER(S)

AND

1 The Land Acquisition Officer,

and Sub Collector, Nandyal, Kurnool District.

2 The Additional Superintendent Engineer (Major Irrigation),

Kurnool District.

3 The Executive Engineer, K.C. Canal Division,

Nandyal, Kurnool District.

.....RESPONDENT(S)

Petition under Article 226 of the constitution of India praying that in the circumstances stated in the Affidavit filed herein the High Court may be pleased to issue an appropriate writ, order or direction mostly one which is in the nature of writ of certiorari calling for the records in and relating to the award No.3/92 in Rc.G.3312/90, dated 26-11-1992 of the first respondent in so far the petitioners lands are concerned and quash the same holding that the said awards are illegal, irregular, arbitrary, unjustified.

For the Petitioners: Mr. KARRI MURALI KRISHNA, Advocate

For Respondent No.1: G.P. FOR LAND ACQUISITION

For Respondent No.2 & 3: G.P. FOR IRRIGATION.

This Court at the stage of admission made the following order:

ORDER:

When the matter came up on the last occasion i.e. on 18-01-2005, none appeared on behalf of the petitioners and no representation was made on their behalf. Therefore, the matter was directed to be listed today under the caption “for dismissal.” Today also, nobody has appeared and no representation has been made on behalf of the petitioners.

Therefore, the writ petition is dismissed for default. No costs.

_____

N.V. RAMANA, J

Date: 25-01-2005

Svv

To

1 The Land Acquisition Officer,

and Sub Collector, Nandyal, Kurnool District.

2 The Additional Superintendent Engineer (Major Irrigation),

Kurnool District.

3 The Executive Engineer, K.C. Canal Division,

Nandyal, Kurnool District.

4. Two C.Cs. to G.P. for Land Acquisition, High Court of A.P,

Hyderabad (O.U.T.).

5. Two C.Cs. to G.P. for Irrigation, High Court of A.P.,

Hyderabad (O.U.T.).

6. Two C.D. copies.